

Chemistry 243 Winter Quarter 2017.  
R. Corn

#### Problem Set #4

##### A. Electrochemical Kinetics

Please read the paper by Chris Chidsey on potential step measurements of electroactive alkanethiol monolayers:

<http://unicorn.ps.uci.edu/243/papers/chidseypaper.pdf>

1) Chidsey uses potential step measurements in Figure 3, in which he predicts the observed current will be a single exponential decay with a time constant  $(k_f + k_b)$ . Use LaPlace transforms with the appropriate initial conditions using the first order kinetic rate equation:

$$\frac{d\Gamma_O}{dt} = -k_f\Gamma_O + k_b\Gamma_R$$

to get  $\Gamma_O(t)$  and the current  $i(t) = nFA d\Gamma_O(t)/dt$ . Hint: the total surface coverage  $\Gamma^*$  is equal to  $\Gamma_O + \Gamma_R$ .

2) Use the Butler-Volmer equation (Eq 3) to predict the potential dependence of  $(k_f + k_b)$  as in Figure 4 for the charge transfer coefficient  $\alpha = 0.3, 0.5, \text{ and } 0.7$ .

3) Chidsey analyzes his rate constant measurements in terms of Marcus Theory. It can also be described with a phenomenological  $\alpha$  that varies linearly with potential. Try to fit Chidsey's data with this approach.

##### B. Langmuir Adsorption Kinetics

The vast majority of surface bioaffinity measurements utilize the specific adsorption of target biomolecules ( $T$ ) from solution onto a surface that has been chemically modified with probe biomolecules ( $P$ ). If the target and probe interact in a simple 1:1 ratio, then in the absence of bulk transport the surface reaction can be represented in the form:



where  $TP$  is the surface bound target-probe complex. Both  $P$  and  $TP$  are surface species, and in the Langmuir approximation their surface concentrations  $\Gamma_P$  and  $\Gamma_{TP}$  are linked to the total concentration of surface sites  $\Gamma_{tot}$  by eq 2:

$$\Gamma_P + \Gamma_{TP} = \Gamma_{tot} \quad (2)$$

4) If we define  $\theta$  as the fraction of occupied surface sites,  $\theta = \Gamma_{TP} / \Gamma_{tot}$ , write down the differential equation for the time evolution of  $\theta$  ( $d\theta/dt = \dots$ ) using  $k_a$  and  $k_d$  and the bulk concentration  $[T]$ .

5) Find the steady state equilibrium surface coverage  $\theta_{eq}$ , which is obtained in the steady state approximation ( $d\theta/dt = 0$ ). This equation defines the Langmuir adsorption coefficient  $K_{ads} = k_a/k_d$ . What is  $\theta_{eq}$  at a bulk concentration equal to  $1/K_{ads}$ ?

6) Using Laplace transform methods, convert the differential equation in question #4 into an algebraic equation in Laplace space, and then find the inverse transform to determine the time dependence of the fractional surface coverage,  $\theta(t)$ . Find this time dependence for two cases: (i) where  $\theta = 0$  at  $t = 0$  and the bulk concentration  $[T] = T_0$ , and (ii) where  $\theta = 1$  at  $t = 0$  and the bulk concentration  $[T] = 0$ .

7) The coupling of diffusion to a surface and Langmuir adsorption kinetics has been handled in the steady state by many researchers, including J. M. Saveant:

<http://unicorn.ps.uci.edu/243/papers/Saveant.pdf>

Eq 1 and 2 come from a steady state approximation of one of Fick's Laws. Can you identify the approximation?

a) Using Igor Pro, redo Figure 2 which plots  $\theta^*$  vs  $\tau$  for values of  $\log(\lambda)$  and  $\log(\kappa)$  ranging from -3 to 3, which can be set by two variable boxes.

b) (A bit more difficult) Using either Igor Pro, Matlab or Mathematica, redo the plot in Figure 1 to make it 3D using Eq. 4. Keep the same X and Y axes, but use  $\log(\tau)$  as the Z variable, where  $\tau$  is the reduced time. The normalized surface coverage  $\theta^*$  becomes a variable that changes the plot ( $\theta^*$  varies from 0 to 1).